characteristics of the product and prior scope determinations on combination units strongly supports the conclusion that the KCU is within the scope of the order.

The physical characteristics of CMI's Kitchen Coach Unit are predominantly those of a color television receiver. The KCU is referred to as a color television in both the promotional literature and CMI's submittal of September 10, 1997. As in the case of Gold Star Combination TV/VCR and TV/Radio units from Korea, it can be used solely as a television, while its other function—the compact disk portion—cannot be used without the television portion of this combination unit. Thus, the ultimate purchasers of the KCU would expect it to function as a color television. Furthermore, the fact that the KCU includes other features does not necessarily remove it from the color television category. Although we recognize that the KCU may be marketed through different channels of trade, the totality of our findings yields substantial record evidence in support of our conclusion.

Recommendation

For the above reasons, we recommend that the KCU be included within the scope of the order on color television receivers from Taiwan.

Dated: December 22, 1997.

Richard Weible,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group III. [FR Doc. 98–281 Filed 1–6–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-822 & A-122-823]

Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products and Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Canada; Extension of Time Limits for Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Extension of time limits for antidumping duty administrative reviews of certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products and certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Canada.

another feature does not alter its primary function." See also, *Funai Electric Company, Ltd.* v. *United States* 713 F. Supp. 422 (CIT 1989) whereby the Court decided that "[i]n physical terms the television portion of the importation is prominent."

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") extending the time limits for the final results of the third antidumping duty administrative reviews of the antidumping orders on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products and certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Canada. These reviews cover five manufacturers and exporters of the subject merchandise during the period August 1, 1995 through July 31, 1996.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 7, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lyn Baranowski (Dofasco, Inc. and Sorevco Inc.); Carrie Blozy (Continuous Colour Coat); Eric Johnson (Algoma Inc); Doreen Chen (Gerdeau MRM Steel); N. Gerard Zapiain (Stelco, Inc.); Import Administration. International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3793.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department published the preliminary results of these administrative reviews in the **Federal Register** on September 9, 1997 (62 FR 47429). Because it is not practicable to complete these reviews by the current deadline of January 7, 1998, the Department is extending the time limits for the final results of the aforementioned reviews 60 days, to March 9, 1998, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act of 1994. See memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa, which is on file in Room B-099 at the Department's headquarters.

This extension of time limits is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: December 24, 1997

Joseph A Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III. [FR Doc. 98–279 Filed 1–6–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-MC

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Research Foundation of CUNY; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89– 651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 97–087. Applicant: Research Foundation of CUNY, New York, NY 10003. Instrument: Stopped-Flow Rapid Kinetics Accessory, Model SFA–20. Manufacturer: Hi-Tech Scientific, United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 53594, October 15, 1997.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: This is a compatible accessory for an instrument previously imported for the use of the applicant. It provides both UV/visible and fluorescence detection of reaction kinetics that can be rapidly deployed with a wide variety of spectrometers using a plug-in umbilical. This capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purposes and we know of no domestic accessory which can be readily adapted to the previously imported instrument.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 98–273 Filed 1–6–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Cornell University; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 97–080. Applicant: Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853. Instrument: Rapid Mixing Accessory, Model SFA–20/Spex. Manufacturer: Hi-Tech Scientific, United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 52685, October 9, 1997.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: This is a compatible accessory for an existing instrument purchased for the use of the applicant. The National